arXiv:1411.7126v1 [math.CO] 26 Nov 2014

A Maximum Resonant Set of Polyomino Graphs *

Heping Zhang†, Xiangqian Zhou

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China

E-mail addresses: zhanghp@lzu.edu.cn, zhouxiangqian0502@126.com

Abstract

A polyomino graph H is a connected finite subgraph of the infinite plane grid such that each finite face is surrounded by a regular square of side length one and each edge belongs to at least one square. In this paper, we show that if K is a maximum resonant set of H, then $H - K$ has a unique perfect matching. We further prove that the maximum forcing number of a polyomino graph is equal to its Clar number. Based on this result, we have that the maximum forcing number of a polyomino graph can be computed in polynomial time. We also show that if K is a maximal alternating set of H, then $H - K$ has a unique perfect matching.

Keywords: Polyomino graph; Resonant set; Clar number; Forcing number; Alternating set.
AMS 2000 subject classification : 05C70, 05C90, 92E10

1 Introduction

There are two families of interesting plane bipartite graphs, hexagonal systems [20] and polyomino graphs [27], which often arise in some real-world problems. A hexagonal system with a perfect matching is viewed as the carbon-skeleton of a benzenoid hydrocarbon [4, 7]. The dimer problem in crystal physics is to count perfect matchings of polyomino graphs [10].

Consider an $m \times n$ chessboard P (i.e., one special type of polyomino graphs) with mn even. In how many ways can this board be covered by dominoes (1×2 rectangles or dimers)? In graph theoretics terms, this problem is equivalent to the counting of perfect matching of its inner dual graph (for the definition of inner dual graph, see [27]). A *domino pattern* of P is obtained by paving or tiling dominoes. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the domino patterns of P and perfect matchings of the inner dual of P. Kasteleyn [10] developed a so-called "Pfaffian method" and derived the explicit expression of the number of ways for covering P by dominoes.

In general, perfect matching existence [28], elementary components [11, 23], matching forcing number [17] and maximal resonance [14] of polyomino graphs have been investigated. In addition, polyomino graphs are also models of many interesting combinatorial subjects, such as hypergraphs [2], domination problem [5, 6], rook polynomials [16], etc.

*This work is supported by NSFC (grant no. 11371180).

†Corresponding author.

The concept of resonance originates from the conjugated circuits method [9, 22]. Conjugated or resonant circuits (i.e. alternating cycles in mathematics [15]) appeared in Clar's aromatic sextet theory [4] and Randić's conjugated circuit model [18, 19]. Klein [12] emphasized the connection of Clar's ideas with the conjugated circuits method. In the Clar's aromatic sextet theory [4], Clar found that an aromatic hydrocarbon molecule with larger number of mutually resonant hexagons is more stable.

Let G be a plane bipartite graph with a *perfect matching* (or Kekulé structure in chemistry) M (i.e. a set of independent edges covering all vertices of G). A cycle of G is called an *M-alternating cycle* if its edges appear alternately in M and off M. A face f is said to be *M-resonant* or *-alternating* if its boundary is an M-alternating cycle. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a set of finite faces (the intersection is allowed) of G. $\mathcal{H}$ is called an *M-alternating set* if all faces in $\mathcal{H}$ are M-resonant. Further, $\mathcal{H}$ is called an *M-resonant set* of G if the faces in $\mathcal{H}$ are mutually disjoint and $\mathcal{H}$ is an M-alternating set. Simply, $\mathcal{H}$ is a *resonant set* and alternating set of G if G has a perfect matching M such that $\mathcal{H}$ is an M-resonant set and M-alternating set respectively. The cardinality of a maximum resonant set of G is called the *Clar number* of G, denoted by $Cl(G)$.

In 1985, Zheng and Chen [29] gave an important property for a maximum resonant set of a hexagonal system.

Theorem 1.1. [29] *Let H be a hexagonal system and K a maximum resonant set of H. Then $H - K$ has a unique perfect matching.*

A *forcing set* of a perfect matching M of a graph G is a subset $S \subseteq M$ such that S is not contained in any other perfect matching of G. The *forcing number* of a perfect matching M, denoted by $f(G, M)$, is the cardinality of a minimum forcing set of M. The *maximum forcing number* of G is the maximum value of forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G, denoted by $F(G)$. The concept of forcing number of graphs was originally introduced for benzenoid systems by Harary et al. [8]. The same idea appeared in an earlier paper [13] of Klein and Randić by the name "innate degree of freedom". Most known results on forcing number are referred to [3].

Pachter and Kim revealed a minimax result that connects the forcing number of a perfect matching and its alternating cycles as follows.

Theorem 1.2. [17] *Let G be a plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching. Then for any perfect matching M of G, $f(G, M) = c(M)$, where $c(M)$ denote the maximum number of disjoint M-alternating cycles in G.*

By combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, Xu et al. [24] obtained a relation between the forcing number and Clar number of a hexagonal system as follows.

Theorem 1.3. [24] *Let H be an elementary hexagonal system. Then $F(H) = Cl(H)$.*

An alternating set of a graph G is called *maximal* if it is not properly contained in another alternating set of G. In 2006, Salem and Abeledo obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.4. [21] *Let H be a hexagonal system and K a maximal alternating set of H. Then $H - K$ has a unique perfect matching.*

Motivated by the above work, we will naturally investigate polyomino graphs. This paper is mainly concerned with a maximum resonant set of a polyomino graph. By applying Zheng and Chen's approach [29], we prove that if K is a maximum resonant set of a polyomino graph G, then $G - K$ has a unique perfect matching. For a maximal alternating set of G, this property still holds. As a corollary, we have that the maximum forcing number of a polyomino graph is equal to its Clar number. Based on these results, it can be shown that the maximum forcing number of a polyomino graph can be computed in a polynomial time, and thus conforms the conjecture proposed by Xu et al. [24].

2 Maximum resonant set

A *polyomino graph* is a connected finite subgraph of the infinite plane grid such that each interior face is surrounded by a regular square of side length one and each edge belongs to at least one square [27]. For a polyomino graph H, the boundary of the infinite face of H is called the *boundary* of H, denoted by $\partial(H)$, and each edge on the boundary is called a *boundary edge* of H. It is well known that polyomino graphs are bipartite graphs. For convenience, we always place a polyomino graph considered on a plane so that one of the two edge directions is horizontal and the other is vertical. Two squares are *adjacent* if they have an edge in common. A vertex of H lying on the boundary of H is called an *external vertex*, and a vertex not being external is called an *internal vertex*. A square of H with external vertices is called an *external square*, and a square with no external vertices is called an *internal square*. In what follows, we always restrict our attention to polyomino graphs with perfect matchings.

Let G be a graph with a perfect matching M and an M-alternating cycle C. Then $M \oplus C (= M \oplus E(C))$ is also a perfect matching of G and C is an $(M \oplus C)$-alternating cycle of G [28]. Let M and N be two perfect matchings of a graph G. The *symmetric difference* of M and N, denoted by $M \oplus N$, is the set of edges contained in either M or N, but not in both, i.e., $M \oplus N = (M \cup N) - (M \cap N)$. An (M, N)-alternating cycle of G is a cycle whose edges are in M and N alternately. It is well known that the symmetric difference of two perfect matchings M and N of G is a disjoint union of (M, N)-alternating cycles.

We now state our main result as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let H be a polyomino graph with a perfect matching, and K be a maximum resonant set of H. Then $H - K$ has a unique perfect matching.*

Before proving the main theorem, we will deduce the following crucial lemma.

Let G be a plane bipartite graph, K a set of finite faces and H a subgraph of G. By $K \cap H$ we always mean the intersection of K and the set of faces of H.

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a 2-connected polyomino graph with a perfect matching, K a resonant set consisting of internal squares of H. If $H - K - \partial(H)$ has a perfect matching or is an empty graph, then K is not a maximum resonant set.*



Figure 1: Squares $S(i,j)$ and $T(i,j)$, and edges $e(i,j)$ and $e'(i,j)$ with $m = 6$, n(1)=4, n(2)=3, n(3)=1, n(4)=2, n(5)=0, n(6)=1. And $A, B \notin H$.

Proof. We denote by M a perfect matching of $H - K - \partial(H)$, and decompose the edges of $\partial(H)$ into two perfect matchings N_1 and N_2 of $\partial(H)$ since $\partial(H)$ is an even cycle. Then it is clear that $M \cup N_1$ and $M \cup N_2$ are two perfect matchings of $H - K$. Let M' be a perfect matching of K such that each edge of M' is vertical. Then $M \cup M'$ is a perfect matching of $H - \partial(H)$. Moreover, $M_1 := N_1 \cup (M \cup M')$ and $M_2 := N_2 \cup (M \cup M')$ are two perfect matchings of H.

Suppose to the contrary that K is a maximum resonant set of H. Adopting the notations of [29], we can take a series of external squares $\{S(i,j) : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n(i)\}$ which satisfy that neither square A nor square B is contained in H as shown in Fig. 1. We denote edges, if any, by $e(i,j)$, $e'(i,j)$, $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n(i)$, and denote the square with edge $e'(i,j)$ which is adjacent to $S(i,j)$, if any, by $T(i,j)$, $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n(i)$, as shown in Fig. 1. We first prove the following claims.

Claim 1. For a pair of parallel edges e_1 and e_2 of a square s of H, they do not lie simultaneously on the boundary of H.

If e_1 and e_2 lie on the boundary of H, then $\{e_1, e_2\} \subseteq N_1$ or N_2, say N_1. So the square s is N_1-alternating, and $K \cup \{s\}$ is a resonant set of H, which contradicts that K is a maximum resonant set of H. Hence Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2. $n(1) \ge 2$ is even, $n(m) = 0$, the square $C \in H$, $n(2) \ge 1$ and $m \ge 3$. If $n(i) > 0$, then $T(i,j) \in H$ for all j, $1 \le j \le n(i)$.

Claim 1 implies that $n(1) \ge 2$, $n(m) = 0$, and $T(i,j) \in H$ for all $1 \le j \le n(i)$. It remains to

show that $n(1)$ is even, $C \in H$, $n(2) \geq 1$ and $m \geq 3$.

Since K is a maximum resonant set of H, $e'(i,j) \notin M$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Otherwise, $K \cup \{S(i,j)\}$ is a resonant set of H since the square $S(i,j)$ is either M_1-alternating or M_2-alternating, a contradiction. So $e(1,j) \in M \cup M'$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n(1)$.

First, we show that $n(1)$ is even.

Suppose to the contrary that $n(1)$ is odd with $n(1) \geq 3$ (See Fig. 2). We use H_0 to denote the subgraph of H formed by squares $S(1,1), S(1,2), \ldots, S(1,n(1)), T(1,2), T(1,3), \ldots, T(1,n(1)-1)$. Then we can see that the restriction of M_2 on H_0 is a perfect matching of H_0. Let $M_2' = M_2 \oplus T(1,2) \oplus T(1,4) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(1,n(1)-1) \oplus S(1,2) \oplus S(1,4) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(1,n(1)-1)$. Then M_2' is a perfect matching of H such that each member in the set

$$S_0 := \Big(K \cup \{S(1,1), S(1,3), \ldots, S(1,n(1))\}\Big) \Big\backslash \Big(K \cap H_0\Big)$$

is an M_2'-alternating square. Note that the set $\{S(1,1), S(1,3), \ldots, S(1,n(1))\}$ with cardinality $\frac{n(1)+1}{2}$, whereas $|K \cap H_0| \leq \frac{n(1)-1}{2}$. Hence, S_0 is a resonant set of H larger than K. This contradicts that K is a maximum resonant set of H.



Figure 2: Illustration for Claim 2 in the proof of Lemma 2.2: $n(1)$ is odd.

Next, we show that $C \in H$.

Suppose to the contrary that $C \notin H$. Then $e(1,1) \in N_1$. We use H_1 to denote the subgraph of H formed by squares $T(1,1), T(1,2), \ldots, T(1,n(1)-1)$. Then the restriction of M_1 on H_1 is a perfect matching of H_1. Note that $T(1,1), T(1,3), \ldots, T(1,n(1)-1)$ are M_1-alternating squares and $|K \cap H_1| \leq \frac{n(1)}{2} - 1$. Hence, we can see that

$$\Big(K \cup \{T(1,1), T(1,3), \ldots, T(1,n(1)-1)\}\Big) \Big\backslash \Big(K \cap H_1\Big)$$

is a resonant set of H larger than K, a contradiction. Similarly, $S(2,1) \in H$ and $n(2) > 0$. Moreover, $m \geq 3$. So we complete the proof of Claim 2.

Let ℓ be an integer with $2 \leq \ell \leq m$ such that $n(\ell)$ is even, and $n(t)$ is odd for all $2 \leq t \leq \ell-1$. It follows from $e(1,n(1)) \in M \cup M'$, $e'(i,j) \notin M$ that $e(i,j) \in M \cup M'$ for all i and j, $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$. We now need to distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1. $n(\ell) > 0$. In this case we have $e(\ell,j) \in M \cup M'$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n(\ell)$.

Subcase 1.1: $\ell = 2$ (See Fig. 3). Let H_2 denote the subgraph of H formed by squares in the set

$$\{T(1,j) \mid 2 \leq j \leq n(1)\} \cup \{S(2,j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n(2)\} \cup \{T(2,j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n(2)-1\}.$$



Figure 3: Illustration for Subcase 1.1 in the proof of Lemma 2.2: $\ell = 2$ and $n(\ell) = 4$.



Figure 4: Illustration for Subcase 1.2 in the proof of Lemma 2.2: $\ell = 3$, $n(\ell) = 2$.

Then the restriction of M_2 on H_2 is a perfect matching of H_2. Let

$$M_2'' = M_2 \oplus T(2,1) \oplus T(2,3) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(2,n(2)-1) \oplus S(2,1) \oplus S(2,3) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(2,n(2)-1),$$

$$S_1 := \{T(1,2), T(1,4), \ldots, T(1,n(1))\} \cup \{S(2,2), S(2,4), \ldots, S(2,n(2))\}.$$

Then M_2'' is a perfect matching of H such that each member of $(K \cup S_1)\backslash(K \cap H_2)$ is an M_2''-alternating square. Note that $|S_1| = \frac{n(1)+n(2)}{2}$, whereas

$$|K \cap \{T(1,j) \mid 2 \le j \le n(1)\}| \le \frac{n(1)}{2} - 1,$$

$$|K \cap \{T(2,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(2)-1\}| \le \frac{n(2)}{2}.$$

Hence, $(K \cup S_1)\backslash(K \cap H_2)$ is a resonant set of H larger than K, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2: $\ell \ge 3$ (See Fig. 4). Let H_3 denote the subgraph of H formed by squares in

$$\{T(\ell-1,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(\ell-1)\} \cup \{S(\ell,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(\ell)\} \cup \{T(\ell,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(\ell)-1\}.$$

Then the restriction of M_2 on H_3 is a perfect matching of H_3. Let

$$M_2''' = M_2 \oplus T(\ell,1) \oplus T(\ell,3) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(\ell,n(\ell)-1) \oplus S(\ell,1) \oplus S(\ell,3) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(\ell,n(\ell)-1),$$

$$S_2 := \{S(\ell,2), S(\ell,4), \ldots, S(\ell,n(\ell))\} \cup \{T(\ell-1,1), T(\ell-1,3), \ldots, T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))\}.$$

Then M_2''' is a perfect matching of H such that each member of $(K \cup S_2)\backslash(K \cap H_3)$ is an M_2'''-alternating square. Note that $|S_2| = \frac{n(\ell)+n(\ell-1)+1}{2}$, whereas

$$|K \cap \{T(\ell-1,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(\ell-1)\}| \le \frac{n(\ell-1)-1}{2},$$

$$|K \cap \{T(\ell,j) \mid 1 \le j \le n(\ell)-1\}| \le \frac{n(\ell)}{2}.$$

Hence, $(K \cup S_2)\backslash(K \cap H_3)$ is a resonant set of H larger than K, a contradiction.

Case 2. $n(\ell) = 0$ (See Fig. 5).

Let H_4 denote the subgraph of H formed by squares $T(\ell-1,1), T(\ell-1,2), \ldots, T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))$. Note that the left side vertical edge of the square $T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))$ belongs to N_2, and moreover each square in H_4 is M_2-alternating. Thus we can see that

$$\Big(K \cup \{T(\ell-1,1), T(\ell-1,3), \ldots, T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))\}\Big)\Big\backslash\Big(K \cap H_4\Big)$$

is a resonant set of H larger than K, a contradiction.

Now the entire proof of the lemma is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose to the contrary that $H-K$ has two perfect matchings M and M'. Then $M \oplus M'$ contains an (M,M')-alternating cycle C. Let $I[C]$ denote the subgraph of H consisting of C together with its interior. Put $K^* = K \cap I[C]$. Then K^* is not a maximum resonant set of $I[C]$ since $I[C]$ and K^* satisfy the condition of Lemma 2.2. Moreover K is also not a maximum resonant set of H, which contradicts the assumption that K is a maximum resonant set of H. □



Figure 5: Illustration for Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 2.2: $\ell = 4$, $n(\ell) = 0$.

3 Maximal alternating set

For a maximal alternating set of polyomino graphs, we can obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a 2-connected polyomino graph with a perfect matching, K an alternating set consisting of internal squares and $\partial(H)$ the boundary of H. If $H - K - \partial(H)$ has a perfect matching or is an empty graph, then K is not a maximal alternating set.*

Proof. We adopt the substructure and notations used in the proof of Lemma 2.2 (See Fig. 1). Let M be a perfect matching of $H - \partial(H)$ such that all squares in K are M-alternating, and let N_1 and N_2 be two perfect matchings of $\partial(H)$. Then $M_1 := M \cup N_1$ and $M_2 := M \cup N_2$ are two perfect matchings of H.

Suppose to the contrary that K is a maximal alternating set of H. The following Claim 1 and its proof are the same as Claim 1 of Lemma 2.2.

Claim 1. For a pair of parallel edges e_1 and e_2 of a square s of H, they do not lie simultaneously on the boundary of H.

Claim 2. $n(1) \geq 2$, $n(m) = 0$, the square $C \in H$, $n(2) \geq 1$ and $m \geq 3$. $e'(i,j) \notin M$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, $e(1,j) \in M$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n(1)$.

Claim 1 implies that $n(1) \geq 2$, $n(m) = 0$, and $T(i,j) \in H$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Since K is a maximal alternating set of H, $e'(i,j) \notin M$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Otherwise, $K \cup \{S(i,j)\}$ is an alternating set of H since the square $S(i,j)$ is either M_1-alternating or M_2-alternating, a contradiction. So $e(1,j) \in M$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n(1)$.

Now we show that $C \in H$. Suppose to the contrary that $C \notin H$. Then $e(1,1) \in N_1$ and $S(1,1)$ is M_1-alternating. So $K \cup \{S(1,1)\}$ is an alternating set of H, a contradiction. Symmetrically, $S(2,1) \in H$ and $n(2) \geq 1$. So $m \geq 3$. Hence Claim 2 is proved.

Let ℓ be an integer with $3 \leq \ell \leq m$ such that $n(\ell) = 0$, and $n(t) > 0$ for all $2 \leq t \leq \ell - 1$.

It follows from $e(1,n(1)) \in M$, $e'(i,j) \notin M$ that $e(i,j) \in M$ for all i and j, $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n(i)$. Note that the left vertical edge of the square $T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))$ belongs to N_1 or N_2, say N_1. So $T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))$ is M_1-alternating and $K \cup \{T(\ell-1,n(\ell-1))\}$ is an alternating set of H, which contradicts the assumption that K is a maximal alternating set of H. The lemma is proved. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be a polyomino graph with a perfect matching, and K be a maximal alternating set of H. Then $H-K$ has a unique perfect matching.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $H-K$ has two perfect matchings M and M'. Then $M \oplus M'$ contains an (M,M')-alternating cycle C. Put $K^* = K \cap I[C]$. Then K^* is not a maximal alternating set of $I[C]$ since $I[C]$ and K^* satisfy the condition of Lemma 3.1. So K is also not a maximal alternating set of H, a contradiction. □

4 Maximum forcing number

Motivated by Theorem 1.3, it is natural to ask the following question: when does the maximum forcing number of a plane bipartite graph equal its Clar number? In the following, we shall give a sufficient condition.

Let G be a plane graph with a perfect matching. A cycle C of G is said to be *nice* if G has a perfect matching M such that C is an M-alternating cycle. Denote by $I[C]$ the subgraph of G consisting of C together with its interior. A cycle C of G is called a *face cycle* if it is the boundary of some finite face of G. For convenience, we do not distinguish a face cycle with its finite face.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected plane bipartite graph with perfect matchings. If for each nice cycle C of G and a maximum resonant set K of $I[C]$, $I[C]-K$ has a unique perfect matching, then $Cl(G) = F(G)$.*

Proof. Let $F(G) = n$. By the definition of Clar number and Theorem 1.2, we can see that $Cl(G) \leq n$. In the following we show $Cl(G) \geq n$. Define $\mathcal{M}(G)$ as the set of perfect matchings of G whose forcing numbers equal n. By Theorem 1.2, for any $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, there exist n pairwise disjoint M-alternating cycles in G. We choose a perfect matching M_1 in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ such that n disjoint M_1-alternating cycles $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$ of G have face cycles as many as possible.

Put $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n\}$. It suffices to show that all cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ are face cycles. Otherwise, $\mathcal{C}$ has a non-face cycle member and its interior contains only face cycle members of $\mathcal{C}$. Without loss of generality, let C_i denote such a non-face cycle member of $\mathcal{C}$ and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{i-1}$ are all the face cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ contained in the interior of C_i for some i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the restriction of M_1 on $I[C_i]$ is also a perfect matching of $I[C_i]$, denoted by M_c. By the assumption, $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{i-1}\}$ is a non-maximum resonant set of $I[C_i]$. Let S be a maximum resonant set of $I[C_i]$. Then $|S| \geq i$. Let M_0 be a perfect matching of $I[C_i]$ such that all faces in S are M_0-resonant. Let $M_2 = (M_1 \backslash M_c) \cup M_0$ and $\mathcal{C}' = S \cup \{C_{i+1}, C_{i+2}, \ldots, C_n\}$. Then M_2 is a perfect matching of G

and each member of $\mathcal{C}'$ is an M_2-alternating cycle. Note that $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ contain more face cycles than $\mathcal{C}$. This contradicts the choices of M_1 and $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n\}$. □

Combining Theorems 4.1 with 2.1 and 1.1, we immediately obtain the following results.

Corollary 4.2. [24] *Let H be a hexagonal system with a perfect matching. Then $F(H) = Cl(H)$.*

Corollary 4.3. *Let P be a polyomino graph with a perfect matching. Then $Cl(P) = F(P)$.*

We now give a weakly elementary property of such graphs that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Let G be a connected plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching. An edge of G is called *allowed* if it lies in some perfect matching of G and *forbidden* otherwise. G is called *elementary* if each edge of G is allowed. G is said to be *weakly elementary* if for each nice cycle C of G the interior of C has at least one allowed edge of G that is incident with a vertex of C whenever the interior of C contains an edge of G [28]. A face f of G is said to be a *boundary face* if the boundaries of f and $\partial(G)$ have a vertex in common.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a connected plane bipartite graph with perfect matchings. If for each nice cycle C of G and a maximum resonant set K of $I[C]$, $I[C] - K$ has a unique perfect matching, then G is weakly elementary.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G is not weakly elementary. Then there exists a nice non-face cycle C of G such that the interior of C has no allowed edges of G incident with vertices of C. It follows that the interior of C has no allowed edges of $I[C]$ are incident with vertices of C. So, for every perfect matching M of $I[C]$, C is M-alternating and any maximum resonant set K of $I[C]$ contains no boundary faces of $I[C]$. So $I[C] - K$ has at least two perfect matchings, a contradiction. □

Xu et al. ever gave a conjecture as follows, which can be now confirmed.

Conjecture 4.5. [24] *Let G be an elementary polyomino graph. Then the maximum forcing number of G can be computed in polynomial time.*

Abeledo and Atkinson obatained the following result.

Theorem 4.6. [1] *Let G be a 2-connected plane bipartite graph. Then the Clar number of G can be computed in polynomial time using linear programming methods.*

A polyomino graph P with forbidden edges can be decomposed into some elementary components [23]. A polynomial time algorithm to accomplish this decomposition. Such elementary components are elementary polyominoes and thus 2-connected. Note that the forcing number of any perfect matching M of P equals the sum of forcing numbers of the restrictions of M on its elementary components. So Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.3 imply the following result, which confirms Conjecture 4.5.

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a polyomino graph with a perfect matching. Then the maximum forcing number of G can be computed in polynomial time.*

5 Concluding remarks

Theorem 2.1 does not hold for general plane bipartite graphs even for plane elementary bipartite graphs. Let us see two elementary bipartite graphs G and G' as shown in Fig. 6, where G' is a subgraph of G bounded by a nice cicle of G. Since there exist at most 12 (resp. 6) pairwise disjoint finite faces in G (resp. G') and the faces with labels 1 (resp. 2) form a resonant set of G (resp. G'), we have that $Cl(G) = 12$ and $Cl(G') = 6$. There is a maximum resonant set S' (the faces with labels 2) of G' such that $G' - S'$ has two perfect matchings. For any maximum resonant set S of G, $G - S$ is empty. This example shows that Theorem 2.1 holds for a graph G does not imply that it holds for each such subgraph of G. Since G' has a perfect matching M such that the faces with labels 2 and the infinite face of G' are M-resonant, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $F(G') \geq 7$. Since there exist no 8 pairwise disjoint cycles in G', we have that $F(G') \leq 7$. So $F(G') = 7$ and $F(G') \neq Cl(G')$. But $F(G) = Cl(G) = 12$.



Figure 6: (a) An elementary graph G,(b) A subgraph G' of G.

References

[1] H. Abeledo, G. Atkinson, Unimodularity of the Clar number problem, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 441–448.

[2] C. Berge, C.C. Chen, V. Chvatal, C.S. Seow, Combinatorial properties of polyominoes. Combinatorics 1 (1981) 217–224.

[3] Z. Che, Z. Chen, Foring on perfect matchings–A survey, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66 (2011) 93–136.

[4] E. Clar, The Aromatic Sextet, Wiley, London, 1972.

[5] E.J. Cockayne, Chessboard domination problems, Discrete Math. 86 (1990) 13–20.

[6] C.M. Grinstead, B. Hahne and D. Van Stone, On the queen domination problem, Discrete Math. 86 (1990) 21–26.

[7] I. Gutman, S.J. Cyvin, Advances in the Theory of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[8] F. Harary, D.J. Klein, Živković, Graphical properties of polyhexes: perfect matching vector and forcing, J. Math. Chem. 6 (1991) 295–306.

[9] W.C. Herndon, Resonance energies of aromatic hydrocarbons: A quantitative test of resonance theory. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 2404–2406.

[10] P.W. Kasteleyn, The statistics of dimers on a lattice: I. The number of dimer arrangements on a quadratic lattice, Physica 27 (1961) 1209–1225.

[11] X. Ke, A lower bound on the number of elementary components of essentially disconnected generalized polyomino graphs, J. Math. Chem. 50 (2012) 131–140.

[12] D.J. Klein, Aromaticity via Kekulé structures and conjugated circuits, J. Chem. Educ. 69 (1992) 691–694.

[13] D.J. Klein, M. Randić, Innate degree of freedom of a graph, J. Comput. Chem. 8 (1987) 516–521.

[14] S. Liu, J. Ou, On maximal resonance of polyomino graphs, J. Math. Chem. 51 (2013) 603–619.

[15] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, Annals of Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 29, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[16] A. Motoyama, H. Hosoya, King and domino polyominals for polyomino graphs, J. Math. Phys. 18 (1997) 1485–1490.

[17] L. Pachter, P. Kim, Forcing matchings on square grids, Discrete Math. 190 (1998) 287–294.

[18] M. Randić, Conjugated circuits and resonance energies of benzenoid hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 38 (1976) 68–70.

[19] M. Randić, Aromaticity and conjugation, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 444–450.

[20] H. Sachs, Perfect matchings in hexagonal systems, Combinatorica 4 (1980) 89–99.

[21] K. Salem, H. Abeledo, A maximal alternating set of a hexagonal system, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 55 (2006) 159–176.

[22] W.T. Simpson, On the use of structures as an aid in understanding π-electron spectra. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75 (1953) 597–603.

[23] S. Wei, X. Ke, Elementary components of essentially disconnected polyomino graphs, J. Math. Chem. 47 (2010) 496–504.

[24] L. Xu, H. Bian, F. Zhang, Maximum forcing number of hexagonal systems, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 70 (2013) 493–500.

[25] F. Zhang, X. Guo and R. Chen, The connectivity of Z-transformation graphs of perfect matchings of hexagonal systems, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 4(2) (1988) 131–135.

[26] H. Zhang, The connectivity of Z-transformation graphs of perfect matchings of polyominoes, Discrete Math. 158 (1996) 257–272.

[27] H. Zhang, F. Zhang, Perfect matchings of polyomino graphs, Graphs Combin. 13 (1997) 295–304.

[28] H. Zhang, F. Zhang, Plane elementary bipartite graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 105 (2000) 291–311.

[29] M. Zheng, R. Chen, A maximal cover of hexagonal systems, Graphs Combin. 1 (1985) 295–298.